



Sample Site, United Kingdom

Report prepared for: Sample for Account Manager AEL-0016-LSRE-581650

Client Reference: SAMPLESSR SSR **Report Reference:**

National Grid Reference: 518994,429059

Report date: 7th August 2015



0845 458 5250 www.argyllenvironmental.com Intelligent Due Diligence



Site Location



Report prepared on

Sample Site, United Kingdom

Site Area (m²)

831.22

Current Use Residential

Proposed Use

Residential

Report Author

Niamh Duggan BA (Hons) MSc AIEMA Telephone: 0845 458 5250

Additional Information Provided







 Risk
 Evaluation

 Contaminated
 Image: Contaminated

 Land
 Image: Contaminated

Liability Assessment

Potential Liabilities have been identified under Part 2A of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 (or where appropriate, equivalent requirements under the planning regime) and/or the Water Resources Act 1991. To quantify these you may decide to undertake a more detailed assessment through the recommendation(s) set out below.

| What is the overall on-site risk? | High |
|---|------|
| What is the overall off-site risk? | High |
| What is the environmental sensitivity rating? | High |



Recommendations

Environmental investigations and remediation measures may have been completed during the redevelopment of the Site. These works may have addressed the risks to the identified receptors. Contact the Planning, Environmental Health and Building Control Departments at the Local Authority to identify if such measures were specified in the planning consent. Ensure that these works meet with current Government guidance on the remediation of contaminated sites. Argyll could undertake this work as an upgrade to a Site Solutions Consult, which is available for this Site for £405 plus VAT (includes all disbursements). This report can usually be prepared within 10-15 working days but may take up to 20 working days depending on response times. Please contact us for further information.

Contaminated Land Risk Analysis

| | Investigation | Commentary |
|----|---|---|
| | On-site sources | A review of historical mapping has revealed that the Site was occupied by a gas works from at least c.1891. The Site remained in this use up until sometime between 1956 and 1969, when it was redeveloped for assumed residential use. The Site remained as such throughout subsequent mapping, before it was redeveloped for its current residential use sometime after 1999. No further significant changes were identified from subsequent mapping. |
| | Argyll's Comment | As a result of the historical and current use of the Site, there is a high risk of contaminants being present. However given the recent nature of the development it is likely a phased environmental assessment (potentially including remediation) was required as a condition of the associated planning consent. |
| 0! | Off-site sources | The following potentially contaminative historical land uses were identified in proximity to Site from 1:10,000 and 1:10,560 scale maps: the remainder of the previously mentioned gas works adjacent c.1927-1956, railways 7m north c.1855-1956, and railways 44m north west c.1911-1956. |
| | | According to trade directories, current or recent operations in proximity to the Site include cleaning services - domestic (27m south east). |
| | Argyll's Comment | The historical and current use of the surrounding area is therefore considered to present a high risk of affecting the Site. |
| 09 | Pathways and receptors | With reference to Environment Agency data, the superficial hydrogeology underlying the Site is classified as a Secondary (A) Aquifer (deposits with moderate permeability) and the bedrock hydrogeology is classified as a Principal Aquifer (highly permeable formations). In terms of the overlying soils, these are given a U (class U) vulnerability classification. According to information provided by the Environment Agency the Site does not lie within a groundwater Source Protection Zone (SPZ). There are no abstraction licences located within 500m. The nearest water feature is located 15m north east. Residential properties are located on Site. No designated eco-receptors were identified within a 500m radius of the Site. |
| | Argyll's Comment | Overall, the Site is therefore considered to have a high environmental sensitivity . |
| | Additional Sources of Information | The following additional historical map packs were used to produce this report.Envirocheck Ref: 71026821 centred on 518994, 429059. |

Argyll's Conclusion

Considering the information reviewed during this assessment, a number of plausible contaminant linkages have been identified. Soil and groundwater liabilities could arise whether or not redevelopment is considered.

Please refer to risk analysis methodology section for further guidance and definition of terms.



Risk Subsidence¹ &

Ground Instability



Further Action

Consultant's Comment

High - The level of subsidence risk associated with this site is high as it is 2-4 times higher than the average insurance subsidence incidence profile for England and Wales. This is based on analysis of historic insurance subsidence incidence data within 0.5 kilometres of this postcode.

The Site may be susceptible to ground instability from man-made or natural sources.

Could the Site be susceptible to ground instability Yes from natural sources?

Could the Site be susceptible to ground instability from man-made/mining activities?

Recommendations

Due consideration should be given to the management of a number of external influencing factors in order to prevent subsidence and thereby reduce the risk. The actions required will be property specific dependent on a number of factors as set out below:

- Are there any trees on the land immediately surrounding the house or on a neighbour's property and are you intending to carry out planting of trees and vegetation? Tree Management Strategies are advised. The trees may be protected by a tree preservation order or be in a conservation area so permission from the local authority must be obtained before any works are undertaken.
- How old is the property? Regular maintenance and inspection of the drainage system is recommended to ensure that there are no apparent blockages, particularly in older properties with salt glazed clayware drainage pipes.
- Are there signs of cracking or historical distortions / misalignments in the structure?

As a potential ground instability hazard was identified, you may wish to consult a local RICS accredited surveyor and/or review any available geotechnical surveys.

¹The Subsidence risk rating is based on the postcode and is not specific to the property. It is the largest geohazard in the UK and accounts for over 75% of Building Insurance subsidence incidents. It is particularly related to the influence of tree roots in clay shrinkage soil (60% of the total incidents) or leakage from drains (15% of the total incidents).

Other Environmental Hazards

| | Risk | Recommendation |
|--------------|------------------------------|---|
| P | Ground Instability Hazard | As a potential ground instability hazard was identified, you may wish to consult a local RICS accredited surveyor and/or review any available geotechnical surveys. |
| (()) | COMAH | No Control of Major Accident Hazards (COMAH) sites are located within 500m. |
| Ŷ | Masts and Power Lines | No telecommunication base stations are located within100m of the Site. |
| | Argyll's | This report is primarily a desktop assessment of potential soil and groundwater |



This report is primarily a desktop assessment of potential soil and groundwater liabilities. We also comment whether the above Environmental Hazards are relevant. Contact details are provided at the end of this report.



Additional Considerations

| Item | Summary | Suggested Action |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| Energy Performance Certificate | Under the Energy Performance of Buildings (England and Wales) Regulations 2012 and the Energy Performance of Buildings (Scotland) Regulations 2008, there is a requirement for all buildings to have an Energy Performance Certificate (EPC) upon their construction, sale or lease (and in some cases when the building is modified). | Check for EPC or conduct energy assessment |
| Sensitive Land Uses | If areas subject to statutory designations are located either on or in proximity to the Site, there may be land management implications or restrictions to planned developments. You are located inside/within 500m of a Nitrate Vulnerable Zone. | Contact local planning authority or speak with a planning consultant |
| | | |
| | Whilst this assessment is primarily a desktop assessment of potential soil and the above potential liability considerations that fall outside the scope of the Ris have been identified. | groundwater liabilities, sk Analysis Methodology |
| Comment | Additional sources of information may be available for the Site. These sources environmental reports (including audits, contaminated land investigation and re- valuation reports (including property observation checklists), a Land Quality Re- deeds. Argyll Environmental would be pleased to review any reports that are a report accordingly. This may entail additional fees depending upon the volume information available. Please contact us for further information. | could include previous emediation reports), ecord, and property available and revise this e and complexity of |

Contents of the Data Section

| Section | Description |
|--|--|
| Tabular Summary | This section presents a tabular summary of information found for the Site and surrounding area. The data is presented in three buffer zones for ease of reference: data found at the Site, from 1- 250m and from 251-500m. |
| | If a database has been searched the number of records found will be displayed under the relevant search band. If a database is not available or has not been searched, this will be represented by the abbreviation N/A under the relevant search band. |
| Current Land Use Mapping | This section provides information on current land uses and is divided into three sections, statutory information, waste and current industrial uses. It is preceded by a map. |
| Statutory Information | This section presents detailed statutory information for the Site and surrounding area (up to 500m depending upon dataset). The Map ID of each feature is indicated (where applicable) followed by specific information on each feature and its distance and direction from the Site. |
| | If no data is identified then the section will be omitted. |
| Waste | This section presents detailed information on waste and landfill sites for the Site and surrounding area (up to 500m depending upon dataset). The Map ID of each feature is indicated (where applicable) followed by specific information on each feature and its distance and direction from the Site. |
| | If no data is identified then the section will be omitted. |
| Current Industrial Land Use | This section presents detailed information on current land use for the Site and surrounding area (0-250m). The Map ID of each feature is indicated (where applicable) followed by specific information on each feature and its distance and direction from the Site. |
| | If no data is identified then the section will be omitted. |
| Historical Land Use Mapping | The Historical Land Use Map presents 1:10,000 scale and selected 1:2,500 scale (tanks and energy facilities) historical land use information within 250m of the Site boundary. |
| Historical Land Use | This section presents selected information on historical land use for the Site and surrounding area (0-250m). The Map ID of each feature is indicated (where applicable) followed by specific information on each feature and its distance and direction from the Site. |
| | If no data is identified then the section will be omitted. |
| Aquifer Designations and Geology | This section is preceded by two maps that present information relating to the aquifer designations beneath the Site. The first of these maps indicates the designation of the Superficial geology. The second map presents the aquifer designation of the solid geology. |
| | These maps are followed by detailed information in relation to aquifer designations/groundwater vulnerability and geology at the Site and surrounding area (0-500m). |
| | If no data is identified then the section will be omitted. |
| Environmental Sensitivity | This section presents detailed information on the environmental sensitivity of the Site and surrounding area (up to 500m depending upon dataset) and is preceded by two maps. The first shows areas with statutory designations, the second shows source protection zones. The Map ID of each feature is indicated (where applicable) followed by specific information on each feature and its distance and direction from the Site. |
| | If no data is identified then the section will be omitted. |
| Natural and Mining Related | This section contains information on natural and mining related hazards which may affect the Site. These include subsidence, radon and mining. |
| | If no data is identified then the section will be omitted. |
| Flooding | This section contains information on the risks associated with flooding. It includes maps and data associated with Flooding from Rivers or Sea, The Environment Agency Risk of Flooding from Rivers and Sea, Groundwater Flooding, Surface Water Flooding, Historical Flooding and Other Information such as the Detailed River Network. |

© Landmark Information Group Limited 2015. All Rights Reserved. The copyright on the information and data as contained in the Data section of this Report ("Report") is the property of Landmark Information Group Limited ("Landmark") and several other Data Providers, including (but not limited to) Ordnance Survey, JBA, British Geological Survey, The Environment Agency, and English Nature, and must not be reproduced in whole or in part by photocopying or any other method.

Tabular Summary

Statutory Information

| Authorisations | On-site | 1-250m | 251-500m |
|---|---------|--------|----------|
| Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Controls | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Local Authority Integrated Pollution Prevention and Controls | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Integrated Pollution Controls | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Integrated Pollution Prevention And Control | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Registered Radioactive Substances | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Discharges | On-site | 1-250m | 251-500m |
| Discharge Consents | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Water Industry Act Referrals | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Control of Major Accident Hazards Sites | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Explosive Sites | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Notification of Installations Handling Hazardous Substances | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Planning Hazardous Substance Consents | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Contraventions | On-site | 1-250m | 251-500m |
| Contaminated Land Register Entries and Notices | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control Enforcements | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Enforcement and Prohibition Notices | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Planning Hazardous Substance Enforcements | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Substantiated Pollution Incident Register | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Prosecutions Relating to Authorised Processes | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Prosecutions Relating to Controlled Waters | 0 | 0 | N/A |

Waste

| Waste/Landfill Sites | On-site | 1-250m | 251-500m |
|--|---------|--------|----------|
| BGS Recorded Landfill Sites | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Integrated Pollution Control Registered Waste Sites | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Licensed Waste Management Facilities (Landfill Boundaries) | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Licensed Waste Management Facilities (Locations) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Authority Recorded Landfill Sites | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Registered Landfill Sites | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Registered Waste Transfer Sites | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Registered Waste Treatment or Disposal Sites | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Historical Landfill Sites | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Current Land Use

| Current Potentially Contaminative Uses | On-site | 1-250m | 251-500m |
|---|--------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Contemporary Trade Directory Entries | 0 | 2 | N/A |
| Fuel Station Entries | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| | | | |
| Other Features | On-site | 1-250m | 251-500m |
| Other Features Telecommunication Base Stations | On-site 0 | 1-250m 0 ¹ | 251-500m N/A |

¹Telecommunication base stations are only searched to a radius of 100m from the Site boundary.

Historical Land Use

| Historical Potentially Contaminative Uses | On-site | 1-250m | 251-500m |
|---|---------|--------|------------|
| Potentially Contaminative Industrial Uses (Past Land Use) | 1 | 3 | N/A |
| Historical Tanks And Energy Facilities | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Potentially Infilled Land | On-site | 1-250m | 251-500m |
| | | | |
| Former Marshes | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Former Marshes Potentially Infilled Land (Non-Water) | 0 | 0 | N/A N/A |

Groundwater Vulnerability

| Hydrogeology | On-site | 1-250m | 251-500m |
|----------------------------------|---------|--------|----------|
| Superficial Aquifer Designations | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Bedrock Aquifer Designations | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Groundwater Vulnerability | 2 | 1 | N/A |
| Geology | On-site | 1-250m | 251-500m |
| Low Permeability Drift Deposits | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| BGS 1:625,000 Solid Geology | 1 | N/A | N/A |

Environmental Sensitivity

| Environmental Sensitivity | On-site | 1-250m | 251-500m |
|--------------------------------------|---------|--------|----------|
| Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Environmentally Sensitive Areas | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Forest Parks | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Local Nature Reserves | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Marine Nature Reserves | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| National Nature Reserves | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| National Parks | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| National Scenic Areas | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Nitrate Sensitive Areas | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Nitrate Vulnerable Zones | 1 | N/A | N/A |
| Ramsar Sites | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nearest Surface Water Feature | 0 | 1 | N/A |
| Sites of Special Scientific Interest | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Special Areas of Conservation | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Special Protection Areas | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Water Abstractions | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Source Protection Zones | 0 | 0 | N/A |

Natural and Mining Related Hazards

| Subsidence | On-site | 1-250m | 251-500m |
|---|---------|----------------|----------|
| Collapsible Ground Stability Hazards | 1 | 0 ³ | N/A |
| Compressible Ground Stability Hazards | 1 | 0 | N/A |
| Ground Dissolution Stability Hazards | 1 | 0 | N/A |
| Landslide Ground Stability Hazards | 1 | 0 | N/A |
| Running Sand Ground Stability Hazards | 1 | 0 | N/A |
| Shrinking or Swelling Clay Subsidence Hazards | 1 | 0 | N/A |
| Non-Coal Mining Hazards | 1 | 0 | N/A |
| Radon | On-site | 1-250m | 251-500m |

³Ground stability hazards are only searched to a radius of 50m from the Site boundary.

Natural and Mining Related Hazards

| Radon Potential | 1 | N/A | N/A |
|-----------------------------|---------|--------|----------|
| Radon Protection Measures | 1 | N/A | N/A |
| Mining | On-site | 1-250m | 251-500m |
| Brine Compensation Areas | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Coal Mining Affected Areas | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Natural and Mining Cavities | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Mining Instability | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| BGS Recorded Mineral Sites | 0 | 0 | N/A |

Energy and Infrastructure

| Energy | Response | Distance |
|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| Oil and Gas Exploration | Yes | Om |
| Wind Energy | Yes | 2541m |
| Solar Energy | No | N/A |
| Other Renewable Energy | No | N/A |
| Infrastructure | Response | Distance |
| High Speed 2 | No | N/A |
| Crossrail | No | N/A |

Tabular Summary Explanation

Argyll has carefully selected a range of datasets which are considered appropriate for the intended use of this report. Each dataset is searched to a set radius from the Site boundary and the tabular summary is divided into different search bands accordingly. If a database is searched and information is found, then the number of records available are detailed in the table above. If the database was searched and no data was found, then a zero will be present. If a database was not searched then the abbreviation N/A will be found, indicating this information was not available at the radius searched.

Landfill Site Information

Registered landfill site boundaries (where available), are shown on the map as a red diagonal hatched polygon and referred to in the map legend as Registered Landfill Sites. At present no complete national dataset exists for landfill site boundaries, therefore a point grid reference provided by the data supplier is used for some landfill sites. The point grid references supplied provide only an approximate position, and can vary from the site entrance to the centre of the site. A point cannot properly define landfill boundaries therefore Landmark constructs a 250 metre or 100 metre "buffer" zone around the point to warn of the possible presence of landfill. The "buffer" zone is shown on the map as an orange crosshatched area and is referred to in the map legend as Potential Landfill Buffer. Local Authority landfill data is sourced from individual local authorities that were able to provide information on sites operating prior to the introduction of the Control of Pollution Act (COPA) in 1974. Appropriate authorities are listed under Local Authority Landfill Coverage with an indication of whether or not they were able to make landfill data available. Details of any records identified are disclosed. You should be aware that if the local authority had landfill datasets. In addition if no data has been made available for all or part of the search area, you should be aware that a negative response under 'Local Authority Recorded Landfill Sites' does not necessarily confirm that no local authority landfills exist.

Subsidence Hazards

Information on subsidence hazards is provided by the British Geological Survey (BGS). Information present within 250m of the Site is reported under Natural and Mining Related Hazards. Due to the level of detail of this data and the complexities of the real world, the BGS recommends a precautionary approach when using this information and advises taking the worst reading noted for each dataset within the vicinity of a property. Therefore, Argyll reports the presence of a ground stability or non-coal related mining hazard in the Risk Analysis section based on the highest reading found within 50m of the Site boundary.



Current Potentially Contaminative Uses

Contemporary Trade Directory Entries

| Map ID | Details | Distance | Direction |
|--------|---|----------|-----------|
| 1 | Cleaning Services - Domestic, Name: Time For You Domestic Cleaning, Status: Active, Location: Maple Pk, Hedon, Hull, North Humberside, HU12 8NR, Positional Accuracy: Manually positioned to the road within the address or location. | 27m | SE |
| 2 | Industrial Services, Name: Curtis Land & Property, Status: Inactive, Location: Preston Rd, Hedon, Hull, North Humberside, HU12 8JU, Positional Accuracy: Manually positioned to the road within the address or location. | 203m | Ν |



www.argyllenvironmental.com AEL-0016-LSRE-581650

Historical Land Use

Historical Potentially Contaminative Uses

Potentially Contaminative Industrial Uses (Past Land Use)

| Map ID | Details | Distance | Direction |
|--------|---|----------|-----------|
| 1 | Gas manufacture & distribution, Date of Mapping: 1892-1911. | On Site | NW |
| 2 | Railways, Date of Mapping: 1855-1956. | 7m | Ν |
| 3 | Railways, Date of Mapping: 1911-1956. | 44m | NW |
| 4 | Leather tanning & dressing, Date of Mapping: 1855. | 156m | S |

Potentially Infilled Land

Potentially Infilled Land (Water)

| Map ID | Details | Distance | Direction |
|--------|--|----------|-----------|
| 5 | Unknown Filled Ground (Pond, marsh, river, stream, dock etc), Date of Mapping: 1956. | 242m | SE |

Aquifer Designation (Superficial)



Aquifer Designation (Bedrock)



Hydrogeology

Superficial Aquifer Designations

| Map ID | Details | Distance | Direction |
|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| | Secondary Aquifer - A | On Site | - |
| | These aquifers are formed of moderately permeable layers capable of supporting water supplies at a local scale, and in some cases forming an important source of base flow to rivers. | | |
| | Unproductive Strata | 87m | Ν |
| | The rock layers or drift deposits have a low permeability that have negligible significance for water supply or river base flow. | | |
| | Unproductive Strata | 110m | SW |
| | The rock layers or drift deposits have a low permeability that have negligible significance for water supply or river base flow. | | |
| Bedrock | Aquifer Designations | | |
| Map ID | Details | Distance | Direction |
| | Principal Aquifer | On Site | - |
| | These aquifers are typically formed of layers of rock or drift deposits that have a high | | |

permeability and provide a high level of water storage. They may support water supply and/or base river flow on a strategic scale.

Groundwater Vulnerability

| Map ID | Details | Distance | Direction |
|--------|---|----------|-----------|
| | Soil Classification: Soils of High Leaching Potential (U) - Soil information for restored mineral workings and urban areas is based on fewer observations than elsewhere. A worst case vulnerability classification (H) assumed, until proved otherwise, Map Scale: 1:100,000, Map Name: Sheet 13 Humber Estuary. | On Site | SE |
| | Soil Classification: Soils of High Leaching Potential (H1) - Soils which readily transmit liquid discharges because they are either shallow, or susceptible to rapid by-pass flow directly to rock, gravel or groundwater, Map Scale: 1:100,000, Map Name: Sheet 13 Humber Estuary. | On Site | - |
| | Soil Classification: Not classified, Map Scale: 1:100,000, Map Name: Sheet 13 Humber Estuary. | 92m | Ν |

Geology

BGS 1:625,000 Solid Geology

| Map ID | Details | Distance | Direction |
|--------|-----------------------|----------|-----------|
| | White Chalk Subgroup. | On Site | - |

Sensitive Land Uses



Source Protection Zones



Environmentally Sensitive Features

Nitrate Vulnerable Zones

| Map ID | Details | Distance | Direction |
|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| 1 | Name: , Description: Surface Water, Source: Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA - formerly FRCA). | On Site | - |
| Nearest | Surface Water Feature | | |
| Map ID | Details | Distance | Direction |
| 2 | Surface water feature identified in proximity. | 15m | NE |

Natural and Mining Related Hazards

Subsidence

Collapsible Ground Stability Hazards

| Map ID | Details | Distance | Direction |
|----------|--|----------|-----------|
| | Risk: No Hazard, Source: British Geological Survey, National Geoscience Information Service. | On Site | - |
| Compre | ssible Ground Stability Hazards | | |
| Map ID | Details | Distance | Direction |
| | Risk: Moderate, Source: British Geological Survey, National Geoscience Information Service. | On Site | - |
| Ground | Dissolution Stability Hazards | | |
| Map ID | Details | Distance | Direction |
| | Risk: No Hazard, Source: British Geological Survey, National Geoscience Information Service. | On Site | - |
| Landslic | le Ground Stability Hazards | | |
| Map ID | Details | Distance | Direction |
| | Risk: Very Low, Source: British Geological Survey, National Geoscience Information Service. | On Site | - |
| Running | Sand Ground Stability Hazards | | |
| Map ID | Details | Distance | Direction |
| | Risk: Low, Source: British Geological Survey, National Geoscience Information Service. | On Site | - |
| Shrinkin | g or Swelling Clay Subsidence Hazards | | |
| Map ID | Details | Distance | Direction |
| | Risk: Very Low, Source: British Geological Survey, National Geoscience Information Service. | On Site | - |
| Non-Co | al Mining Hazards | | |
| Map ID | Details | Distance | Direction |
| | Risk: Rare, Source: British Geological Survey, National Geoscience Information Service. | On Site | - |

Radon

| Radon F | Potential |
|---------|-----------|
|---------|-----------|

| Map ID | Details | Distance | Direction |
|--------|---|----------|-----------|
| | The property is in a lower probability radon area, as less than 1% of homes are above the action level, Source: British Geological Survey, National Geoscience Information Service. | On Site | - |

| Radon Protective Measures | | | | |
|---------------------------|---|----------|-----------|--|
| Map ID | Details | Distance | Direction | |
| | None, Source: British Geological Survey, National Geoscience Information Service. | On Site | - | |



Risk

Energy & Infrastructure



Identified

Recommendations

In order to gain more detailed information regarding the potential impact of energy production, wind energy, solar energy, renewable energy plants, HS2, or Crossrail on your property we recommend that you purchase a SiteSolutions Energy & Infrastructure report. If you would like more information please contact your Search Provider or our Customer Services Team on **0844 8449966** or email **helpdesk@landmark.co.uk**

| | Energy | Enquiry | Result | Distance |
|-------------|----------------------------|--|--------|----------|
| | Oil and Gas Exploration | Is the property in an area licensed for onshore energy exploration and production? | Yes | On Site |
| | | Is the property within 4km of a well used for energy exploration or extraction? | No | N/A |
| | Wind Energy | Is the property within 4km of existing or proposed wind farms or wind turbines? | Yes | 2541m |
| | Solar Energy | ls the property within 2km of existing or proposed solar farms? | No | N/A |
| <u></u> †⊴† | Other Renewable Energy | Is the property within 2km of existing or proposed renewable power plants? | No | N/A |

| | Infrastructure | Enquiry | Result | Distance |
|-----|----------------|---|--------|----------|
| HS2 | High Speed 2 | Is the property within 4km of the proposed HS2 rail link? | No | N/A |
| | Crossrail | Is the property within 2km of the Crossrail rail link? | No | N/A |



Flood Risk Screening

| | Risk | Issue | Evaluation |
|----|----------------|--|-----------------|
| 1. | Insurability | Is the Site likely to be insurable at standard terms? | Yes |
| 2. | Development | If development is proposed would a detailed Flood Risk Assessment be required? | Yes (Full) |
| 3. | Flooding | What is the overall risk of flooding, assuming defences fail or are absent or over-topped? | High |
| 4. | Flood Defences | Are there existing flood defences that might benefit the Site? | Yes |
| 5. | Effect | What is the risk of flooding when these defences are operational? | Low to Moderate |



Argyll's Comment Although the Site lies within a Flood Zone 3 owing to its proximity to Westlands Drain, 87m south, it is also in an area benefiting from flood defences as defined by the EA and is expected be offered protection from local or regional flood defences. The Site has also been identified as being at a low risk of flooding according to the Risk of Flooding from Rivers or Seas (RoFRS) dataset. This is why the risk rating for river flooding differs when looked at with and without defences.

Building and contents insurance should be available at standard terms because the Site is not at a significant risk of flooding according to the key data sources frequently used by insurers to determine insurability.



Recommendations

1. If the Site is being purchased, it would be prudent to ask the vendor to confirm whether or not they are aware of any previous flooding at the Site.

2. You may wish to obtain insurance terms prior to completion of this transaction.

²Other factors influencing flood risk include historic flood events, geological indicators of flooding, proximate surface water features and elevation above sea level.

Current Flood Risk





| Item | Summary |
|------------------------|---|
| Riparian Ownership | A riparian owner describes anyone who owns a property where there is a watercourse within or adjacent to the boundaries of their property. |
| | Under common law, a riparian owner has rights and responsibilities relating to the stretch of watercourse that falls within or beside the boundaries of their land. Their primary responsibility is to keep the watercourse free of any obstructions that could hinder normal water flow. If the riparian owner fails to carry out their responsibilities, this could result in civil action. |
| | A riparian owner should also check before carrying out any works near to the edge of a river, as such works may be subject to byelaws. If infringed, this could lead to enforcement action by the Environment Agency. |
| | There is a presumption that the boundary between properties abutting a watercourse is the centre line of that watercourse. To confirm whether this is the case, a solicitor should check the deeds or the Index Map. |
| | The Environment Agency has published useful guidance "Living on the edge" for owners of land or property alongside a watercourse. Sometimes, the Environment Agency or other organisations managing flood risk, may have statutory rights of access to properties which adjoin a watercourse. This may be for maintenance, repair or rebuilding of any part of the watercourse or for access to or repair of monitoring equipment. |
| Development Control | Sites which lie close to (but do not adjoin) a watercourse, may be subject to planning controls should redevelopment be considered. The Environment Agency are normally consulted regarding any development within 20m of a Main River and Internal Drainage Boards should be similarly contacted regarding developments close to drainage channels. Navigation authorities are normally consulted regarding any development within 250m of a canal, although this varies on a site by site basis. |
| | The Environment Agency should also be contacted with regards to development (other than minor development) in Flood Zones 2 and 3. |
| Sewer Flooding | In times of extreme rainfall events sewers can overflow and cause local flooding. Ofwat's 'DG5 - At Risk Registers' record properties that have flooded from sewers and are at risk of flooding again, with separate registers for internal and external flooding. The At Risk Registers are maintained by each of the ten water and sewerage companies in England and Wales and details of properties subject to sewer flooding are normally kept for between two and five years. These registers are not necessarily complete as not all episodes of past flooding may be recorded. The answer to this question is based on replies given by the relevant water and sewerage provider to specific enquiries. The response provided is based on the information held. Sometimes, the water and sewerage provider is unable to confirm whether the Site has flooded, but provides a response based on all properties connected to a local sewer network (normally up to ten houses). This is due to the way in which the data is collected. |
| | |
| Argyll's Comment | Whilst this assessment is primarily a flood risk screening report, you may wish to consider the above potential liability considerations that fall outside the scope of the Flood Risk Screening Methodology. |
| | Argyll can provide additional information on riparian ownership, development control and sewer flooding. |

Argyll can provide additional information on riparian ownership, development control and sewer flooding The cost of this additional information is from £50 + VAT and any disbursements.

Risk Management Options

Flooding can usually be managed by the installation of flood protection measures either on/within the building(s) or across the Site. Flood protection measures can be divided into two categories; flood resistance and flood resilience.

Both flood resistance and flood resilience solutions can be integrated with design proposals for new build properties or retro-fitted to existing properties. Specific flood protection packages can often include both resistance and resilience measures. What is suitable will depend on a number of factors including flood source, likely flood depths, property design and age.

Research conducted by CLG Sustainable Buildings Division and The Environment Agency revealed that installing flood resistance measures may be inappropriate where likely flooding will be deep. Certain types of building construction are unable to resist the pressure load placed on the exterior skin of the building by retained flood waters. Generally a flood depth between 0.6m and 1.0m above ground level is used as a benchmark to decide whether to consider flood resilience measures rather than rely on flood resistance measures. This is dependent on the age and construction of the property.

Guideline Costs for Resistance Measures

| Building Feature | Cost Estimate for Baffles (+ VAT) |
|--|------------------------------------|
| Standard (900mm) single door | £750 |
| Standard (1800mm) double entrance door | £950 |
| Large roller shutter door (up to 2,745mm span) | £1,420 (inc channel) |
| Standard garage door | £1,400 - £1,575 |
| Standard window (up to 1,240mm span) | £750 |
| Large window (1,240mm to 2,150mm span) | £550 - £700 |
| Single air brick | £60 / £90 |
| Double air brick | £80 - £230 |
| Building Feature | Cost Estimate for Tanking (+ VAT) |
| Tanking (of basement, walls or floors) | £25 - £50 per metre ² |
| System Component | Cost Estimate for Plumbing (+ VAT) |
| Simple non-return valve | £35 / £170 |
| Sophisticated non-return valve | £670 / £900 |

The costs above are for indicative budget purposes only. They are based on installing components of a standard design and colour. If the Site requires bespoke products, these are likely to cost more (for example, if the Site is in a conservation area, different colours may be required).

If you require a property specific assessment of which measures are suitable, and a more accurate cost appraisal, Argyll will need to complete a FLOODSOLUTIONS Consult Report. This report normally costs from \pounds 500 to \pounds 1,000 (plus VAT) and provides more detailed information on the likelihood and, in particular, the depth of all potential types of flooding. Argyll can also arrange for one of a panel of specialist contractors to provide a tailored estimate for flood protection measures.

Tabular Summary

| Current Flood Risk | On-site | 1-250m | 251-500m |
|---|----------|--------|----------|
| Flooding From Rivers or Sea | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Flooding From Rivers or Sea (in an Extreme Flood) | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Areas Benefiting from Flood Defences | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Flood Storage Areas | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flood Defences | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Risk of Flooding from Rivers and Sea | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Groundwater Flood Risk | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Surface Water Flooding (1:75 year rainfall event) | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Surface Water Flooding (1:200 year rainfall event) | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Surface Water Flooding (1:1000 year rainfall event) | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Dam or Reservoir Failure | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Historical Flooding | On-site | 1-250m | 251-500m |
| Historical Flood Events | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Geological Indicators of Flooding | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Site information | Response | | |
| Height of Site Above Sea Level | 3.00m | | |
| Distance of Site Boundary to Nearest Water Feature | 29.2m | | |

Tabular Summary Explanation

Argyll has carefully selected a range of datasets which are considered appropriate for the intended use of this report. Each dataset is searched to a set radius from the Site boundary and the tabular summary is divided into different search bands accordingly. If a database is searched and information is found, then the number of records available are detailed in the table above. If the database was searched and no data was found, then a zero will be present. If a database was not searched then the abbreviation N/A will be found, indicating this information was not available at the radius searched.

Current Flood Risk

Flooding from River or Sea (Flood Zone 3)

| Details | Distance | Reply or Direction |
|---|----------|-----------------------|
| Are there any flood plains within 500m? | <501m | YES |
| Type: Tidal Models, Source: Environment Agency, Head Office, Boundary Accuracy: As Supplied. | On Site | - |

Flooding from River or Sea in an Extreme Flood (Flood Zone 2)

| Details | Distance | Reply or Direction |
|---|----------|-----------------------|
| Are there any flood plains (extreme flood) within 500m? | <501m | YES |
| Type: Tidal Models, Source: Environment Agency, Head Office, Boundary Accuracy: As Supplied. | On Site | - |

The Site (or part of it) is at a high risk of flooding from rivers and the sea, as defined by the regulatory body's Flood Map. The risk of annual flooding is greater than 1% (from rivers) or greater than 0.5% (from the sea). Properties in Flood Zone 3 may have difficulty in obtaining flood insurance (most insurers will only cover risks of less than 1.33% annual probability). All development proposals would need to be accompanied by a Flood Risk Assessment, in accordance with NPPF. Developments such as emergency services stations, basement dwellings and caravans, mobile homes and park homes for permanent residential use, etc. are not compatible with this level of risk. Significant planning constraints would apply to such developments as residential, care homes, hotels, short-let caravan parks, camping, etc. Parts of the Site may be within an area of land where water has to flow or be stored in times of flood (>5% annual risk of flooding) within which severe planning constraints apply. It is recommended that a FloodSolutions Consult Report is undertaken to further define the flood risk issues and potential development constraints.

Flood Defences

| Details | Distance | Reply or Direction |
|---|----------|-----------------------|
| Are there any flood defences within 500m? | <501m | YES |

The Site is below the base level at a flood defence. There may therefore be a residual risk of flooding should the protection standard of the defences be exceeded (and the defences overtopped) or should the defence line fail. A FloodSolutions Consult Report could be undertaken in order to further define these risks.

Areas Benefiting from Flood Defences

| Details | Distance | Reply or Direction |
|---|----------|-----------------------|
| Does the Site or any areas within 500m benefit from flood defences? | <501m | YES |

The Site is within an Area Benefiting from a Flood Defence, as defined by the regulatory body. There is therefore a residual risk that the Site may flood if the protection standard of the defences is exceeded, or if the defences should fail. It is recommended that further investigations are undertaken into the standard of these defences. Please contact us for further information.

Flood Storage Areas

| Details | Distance | Reply or Direction |
|---|----------|-----------------------|
| Are there any flood storage areas within 500m | <501m | NO |
| | | |

The Site is over 500m from a Flood Storage Area (FSA) as defined by the regulatory body. These areas store flood water during flood events. It is unlikely that any FSA presents any associated flood risk to the Site.

Risk of Flooding from Rivers and Sea

| Details | Distance | Reply or Direction |
|---|----------|-----------------------|
| What is the flood likelihood category for the Site? | On Site | low |

The Site (or part of it) has been defined as being at low flood risk within the regulatory body's risk assessment. This classification relates to the locality as a whole, rather than the individual Site and relates only to the risk of coastal or river flooding. This classification should not raise difficulties in obtaining flood insurance for properties on the Site.

The Environment Agency Data

The data in the Risk of Flooding from Rivers and Sea Property Flood Likelihood Database is sourced from The Environment Agency's National Receptor Dataset (NRD). The information provided includes the flood likelihood category low, moderate, or significant according to the flood likelihood analysis. Some areas may be classified as having no result. This occurs where there is no output data from the analysis, but the area falls within the extreme flood outline (with a 0.1% or 1 in 1000 chance of flooding in any year).

| Details | Distance | Reply or Direction |
|---|----------|-----------------------|
| What is the risk of groundwater flooding at the Site? | On Site | moderate |

Information from ESI indicates that there is a moderate risk of groundwater flooding in this area with a return period of 1 in 100 years. There will be a possibility that incidence of groundwater flooding could lead to damage to property or harm to other sensitive receptors at, or near, this location. Where flooding occurs it is likely to be in the form of shallow pools or streams. There may be basement flooding, but road or rail closures should not be needed and flooding should pose no significant risk to life. Surface water flooding may be exacerbated when groundwater levels are high. Further consideration of the level of risk and mitigation, by a suitably qualified professional, is recommended.

ESI Data

ESI provides data to Argyll in relation to groundwater flooding. Through research and development, building on their expertise in addressing groundwater flooding issues for the Environment Agency and other clients in the UK, ESI has developed algorithms and calibrated predictions of the risk of groundwater flooding occurring in England and Wales. This differs from other suppliers of data regarding groundwater flooding which only report on the susceptibility of groundwater flooding. Susceptibility merely has to be identified, whereas risk must be quantified. The resulting map is a 50x50m classification of groundwater flooding risk into four categories (Negligible, Low, Moderate and High). ESI's classifications are based on the level of risk, combining severity and uncertainty that a site will suffer groundwater flooding within a return period of about 100 years.

The map is a general purpose indicative screening tool, and is intended to provide a useful initial view for a wide variety of applications. However, it does not provide an alternative to a site specific assessment, and a detailed risk assessment should be used for any site where the impact of groundwater flooding would have significant adverse consequences.

Surface Water Flooding

| Details | Distance | Reply or Direction |
|--|----------|-----------------------|
| What is the risk of surface water flooding at the Site following a 1 in 75 year rainfall event? | On Site | negligible |
| What is the risk of surface water flooding at the Site following a 1 in 200 year rainfall event? | On Site | negligible |
| What is the risk of surface water flooding at the Site following 1 in 1,000 year rainfall event? | On Site | negligible |



JBA Risk Management Data

Surface Water Flooding - Information regarding the risk of natural surface water or pluvial flooding. The risk is classified by JBA into four categories, negligible, low (more than 0.1m), medium (more than 0.3m) and high (more than 1m) which reflect varying depths of potential surface water flooding during a range of rainfall events including 1:75 year, 1:200 year and 1:1,000 year events.

Historical Flooding

Historic Flood Events

| Details | Distance | Reply or Direction |
|---|----------|-----------------------|
| Have any historic flood events occurred at the Site or within 500m? | <501m | NO |
| | | |

The regulatory body's records have no indication of past flooding within 500m of the Site. As these records are not comprehensive, it may still be prudent to ask the relevant authorities and the Site owner whether they are aware of any previous flooding at the Site or in the surrounding area.

Environment Agency Data

Historic Flood Outlines - The EA has collated extensive records (including outlines) of flooding from rivers, the sea or groundwater which have occurred in England and Wales since c. 1950. This information comes from various sources including maps, aerial photographs and private records. It is not necessarily comprehensive.

Geological Indicators of Flooding

| Details | Distance | Reply or Direction |
|---|----------|-----------------------|
| Are there any geological deposits which indicate the Site may have been flooded in the past? | <26m | YES |
| Fluvial flooding indicators, Higher flood potential from rivers: the first areas to experience the effects of inland flooding in a river catchment. | On Site | - |

Data from the British Geological Survey (BGS) indicates that the type of deposits in the locality of the Site are of the type normally associated with floodplains. However, this data should only be considered as complementary to the regulatory body's Flood Map. This BGS data does not indicate the likelihood of flooding, since such deposits may be due to flood events which occurred thousands of years ago. Refer to the other assessments in this report for an overall assessment of flood risk.

British Geological Survey Data

Geological Indicators of Flooding – The BGS Geological Indicators of Flooding (GIF) data set is a digital map based on the BGS Digital Geological Map of Great Britain at the 1:50,000 scale (DiGMapGB-50). It was produced by characterising Superficial (Drift) Deposits on DiGMapGB-50 in terms of their likely vulnerability to flooding, either from coastal or inland water flow and reflects areas which may have flooded in the recent geological past. This normally relates to flooding which happened many thousands of years ago.

Other Flood Information

Height Above Sea Level

| Details | Direction | Reply or Direction |
|--|-----------|-----------------------|
| Maximum height of the Site above sea level | On Site | 3.00m |
| Minimum height of the Site above sea level | On Site | 3.00m |
| Average height of the Site above sea level | On Site | 3.00m |



The Site is at a relatively low height above sea level. However, this is not in itself indicative of the degree of flood risk and reference should be made to other assessments within this report.

Distance to Water Features

| Details | Direction | Reply or Direction |
|---|-----------|-----------------------|
| Are there any water features within 500m? | <501m | YES |
| Surface water feature | 29.2m | NE |
| Surface water feature | 85.8m | S |
| Surface water feature | 100.3m | S |
| Surface water feature | 147.8m | SW |
| Surface water feature | 222.4m | W |
| Surface water feature | 304.0m | W |
| Surface water feature | 313.2m | W |
| Surface water feature | 329.1m | E |
| Surface water feature | 333.1m | W |
| Surface water feature | 474.8m | E |
| Surface water feature | 488.5m | W |
| | | |



There is a water feature shown on the Ordnance Survey within 250m of the Site. This does not represent a flood risk in itself, but its presence has been taken into account in the overall risk assessment in this Report.

Dam or Reservoir Failure

| Details | Distance | Reply or Direction |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Is there a risk of the Site being affected by the failure of a nearby dam | or reservoir? On Site | NO |



Neither the Site nor areas near to it will be likely to flood if a dam or reservoir in the surrounding area failed.

JBA Risk Management Data

Dam or Reservoir Failure – JBA has modelled approximately 1700 dams and reservoirs across the UK which are considered to pose the greatest risks to people and property. These models are able to predict the areas likely to flood on all sides of a feature, should an element of it fail e.g. a wall, dam or earth bund.

Contacts

| Name and Address | Telephone/Fax/Email |
|---|-------------------------|
| Argyll Environmental Limited | Telephone 0845 458 5250 |
| Lees House | Fax 0845 458 5260 |
| 2 I-33 Dyke Road Brighton BN1 3FE | info@argyllenviro.com |
| www.argyllenvironmental.com | |
| Ensura Limited (for Environmental Insurance) | Telephone 0845 652 8585 |
| Lees House | Fax 0845 652 8686 |
| Brighton BN1 3FE | info@ensura.co.uk |
| www.ensura.co.uk | |
| East Riding of Yorkshire Council Public Protection Division | Telephone 08457 887700 |
| Council Offices | Fax: 01482 396104 |
| www.eastriding.gov.uk/ | |
| Environment Agency National Customer Contact Centre (NCCC) PO Box 544 | Telephone 08708 506 506 |
| | |
| British Geological Survey Enquiry Service | Telephone 0115 936 3143 |
| British Geological Survey | Fax: 0115 936 3276 |
| WWW.bgs.ac.uk | enquiries@bgs.ac.uk |
| Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA - formerly FRCA) | Telephone 0113 2613333 |
| Government Buildings | Fax: 0113 230 0879 |
| Department for Energy and Climate Change 3 Whitehall Place | Telephone 0300 068 8138 |
| www.gov.uk/government/organisations/department-of-energy-climate- change | https:// |
| PointX | Telephone |
| 7 Abbey Court | |
| www.pointx.co.uk | |

Please note that the Environment Agency / SEPA have a charging policy in place for enquiries. When contacting these agencies please mention that this data has been received from the Landmark database, alternatively Argyll Environmental Limited would be pleased to assist with consultation to the above bodies. Please contact us for a quotation.

Risk Analysis Methodology

The Site Solutions reports have been designed to assist in making informed decisions during property transactions. The Report is a desktop assessment of direct liabilities (Liabilities) which could affect the owner /occupier of the Site and arise under Part 2A of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 and/or equivalent requirements under the planning regime and/or the Water Resources Act 1991⁴. (Relevant Legislation). If a risk is identified, then a number of options for finding out more about the risk, managing it or transferring it are proposed.

The assessment of environmental liability under the Relevant Legislation is based upon the principle of determining the presence of a plausible contaminant-pathway-receptor relationship (a contaminant linkage). A 'contaminant' is a source of contamination, a 'pathway' is a medium through which the contamination can mobilise and 'a receptor' is a person or entity that could be detrimentally affected by the contamination. If all three are identified, then a 'plausible contaminant-pathway-receptor relationship' may be present. By definition, this is one which Argyll believes could result in significant harm, a significant possibility of significant harm or significant pollution or the possibility of significant pollution to Controlled Waters.

In our assessment we use the following test to decide if there is a potential liability affecting the Site. For the purpose of this assessment a site where a potential Liability has been identified is defined as follows:

A Site which, from the information assessed by Argyll, is considered to have the potential of being affected by contaminative substances present in or under the Site (but excluding potential sources of contamination on or above the land) such that, on the basis of its current or proposed use, there is a reasonable likelihood of a UK regulatory authority, acting in accordance with Relevant Legislation, requiring that remedial measures are taken in order to remedy or mitigate the contaminative substances that are present in or under the land that forms all or part of the Site.

The term Liabilities is defined within the scope of this assessment to mean, remedial works under Part 2A of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 (or where appropriate, equivalent requirements under the planning regime) and/or the Water Resources Act 1991 which may result in direct liability for the site owner/occupier.

The assessment within the Report has been produced and quality checked by a team of qualified environmental professionals. The assessment is based upon a manual review of the data contained within the Data Section of this Report and of 1:2500 and 1:1250 (where available) scale historical mapping.

Ecological Risk Assessment

The evaluation of ecological risk is becoming an increasingly important input when making risk management decisions. In the Site Solutions Commercial report, Argyll assesses two different drivers for risks and liabilities driven by ecological receptors;

1. The Contaminated Land Regime; and

2. The Environmental Damage Regulations (EDR) 2009.

The Environment Agency has designed a generic framework for conducting ecological risk assessment (see Assessing Risk to Ecosystems from Land Contamination, R&D Technical Report P299, EA 2002). This recommends a tiered approach in line with best practice for human health and controlled water risk assessment and defines Relevant Ecological Receptors as any of the Relevant Types of Receptor as set out in Table 1 of Defra Statutory Guidance on Contaminated Land dated April 2012.

Argyll assesses Relevant Ecological Receptors as part of its assessment process. To do so it uses the Argyll EcoRisk model which was developed and tested in consultation with leading experts and is based on the Environment Agency framework.

The Environmental Damage (Prevention and Remediation) Regulations 2009 were introduced on 1 March 2009 to implement the provisions of the European Commission's Environmental Liability Directive into law in England ⁵. The aim of EDR is to prevent and remedy damage to protected species or natural habitats or a site of special scientific interest, surface water, groundwater or to land. 'Environmental damage' has a specific meaning in the Regulations, and covers only the most severe cases. Existing legislation with provisions for environmental liability remains in place. The Regulations apply on land in England and on the seabed around the UK up to the limits set out in the Continental Shelf Act 1964, and to waters in the Renewable Energy Zone, which extends approximately 200 miles out to sea.

Argyll does not consider the standard of current operations, but reports the potential for environmental damage based on the location of EDR Receptors around the Site.

⁴ Water Environment (Controlled Activities)(Scotland) Regulations 2005 where appropriate.

⁵ Environmental Damage (Prevention and Remediation) (Wales) Regulations 2009 or Environmental Damage (Prevention and Remediation) (Scotland) Regulations where appropriate.

When conducting either assessment, Argyll will primarily assess information provided in the Data section of the Report. However, in some cases Argyll may choose to supplement this with freely available public information such as that provided by Natural England and/or information provided by the Argyll Europa System.

Liability Assessment

In this section Argyll will report on any potential soil and groundwater liabilities which it considers are associated with the Site. Our assessment of Liability is based upon the proposed and current use of the Site (as supplied by the client) in line with current Government guidance.

There will be one of the following three responses:

| Assessment | Liability Statement & explanation | Defra Category* |
|-------------------|--|--------------------|
| PASSED | Within the scope of this assessment no Liabilities have been identified. No further action is required. | 3 or 4 |
| | This statement indicates that within the scope of this assessment, no issues have been identified that are likely to result in significant cost liabilities under Relevant Legislation. | |
| PASSED | Within the scope of this assessment no Liabilities have been identified. However, your attention is drawn to the prudent enquiries suggested below. | 3 or 4 |
| | This statement indicates that within the scope of this assessment, no issues have been identified that are likely to result in significant cost liabilities under Relevant Legislation. However, a client may wish to obtain further information about other issues disclosed in the Report, which could be material. | |
| FURTHER ACTION | Potential Liabilities have been identified under Part 2A of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 (or where appropriate, equivalent requirements under the planning regime) and/or the Water Resources Act 1991 ⁶ . To quantify these you may decide to undertake a more detailed assessment through the recommendation(s) set out below. | Potentially 1 or 2 |
| | This statement indicates that within the scope of this assessment, an issue or a number of issues have been identified that are likely to result in significant cost liabilities under Relevant Legislation. In this event, recommendations are made, in order that additional information is collected so that the liabilities may be more accurately assessed. | |

* According to Defra's updated Statutory Guidance on Contaminated Land, Regulators have a four-stage test to decide when land is and is not contaminated. Category 1 and Category 2 sites would encompass land which is capable of being determined as contaminated land, whereas Category 3 and Category 4 sites would encompass land which is not capable of being determined as contaminated land.

Limitations of the Report

The Site Solutions reports have been designed to satisfy standard environmental due-diligence enquiries, as recommended by the Law Society's contaminated land warning card. It is a 'remote' investigation and reviews only information provided by the client and from the databases of publicly available information that have been chosen to enable a desk based environmental assessment of the Site. The Report does not include a site investigation, nor does Argyll make specific information requests of the regulatory authorities for any relevant information they may hold. Therefore, Argyll cannot guarantee that all land uses or factors of concern will have been identified by the Report.

The information in the Data Section of the Report is derived from a number of statutory and non-statutory sources. While every effort is made to ensure accuracy, Argyll cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such information or data. Argyll will not accept responsibility for inaccurate data provided by external data providers.

Further information regarding our risk assessment methodology is provided in the Products and Services User Manual which is available free of charge from the client area of our website <u>www.argyllenvironmental.com</u>. For further information regarding the datasets reviewed within our assessment, please contact one of our technical team on 0845 458 5250. This report is provided under The Argyll Environmental Terms and Conditions for Data Reports, a copy of which is available on our website.

⁶Water Environment (Controlled Activities)(Scotland) Regulations 2005 where appropriate.

Flood Risk Screening Methodology

This section of the report is a desktop flood risk screening, designed to enable property professionals to assess the risk of flooding at residential sites. It examines three areas; how flood risk affects the availability of insurance for a site; how flood risk affects the potential to redevelop a site; and the overall risk of flooding at a site (taking into account any flood defences present). The report considers current Government guidance including the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and the agreement between insurance companies and central Government. The report has been produced and quality-checked by qualified flood risk specialists using the data contained in this report.

Executive Summary and Consultants Comment

In this section Argyll will summarise in a statement whether any significant flood risks have been identified and whether insurance is likely to be available at Standard Terms.

There will be one of the following three responses:

| Assessment | Risk Statement |
|-------------------|--|
| PASSED | The Site is not considered to be at a significant risk of flooding. Insurance is likely to be available at standard terms. |
| PASSED | The Site is located within an area which is at risk of flooding. In most cases insurance should be available at standard terms. However, this will be dependent on site specific factors and we recommend contacting your insurance broker before proceeding with any transaction. |
| FURTHER ACTION | The Site is located within an area which is at risk of flooding and as a result insurance may not be available at standard terms. However, this will be dependent on site specific factors and we recommend contacting your insurance broker before proceeding with any transaction. |

Insurance Availability

Argyll provides an indication of whether the Site is likely to be insurable for flood risk at standard terms. The answer to Question1 (on page 3) is based on consideration of Risk of Flooding from Rivers and Sea data supplied by The Environment Agency and surface water flooding data supplied by JBA Risk Management. This data is used by a significant proportion of the insurance industry to help determine the suitability of a Site for insurance, although they may access additional information which could affect their assessment.

Under the Association of British Insurers' Revised Statement of Principles on the Provision of Flooding Insurance (July 2008), the general policy of member companies is that flood insurance for domestic properties and small businesses should continue to be available for as many customers as possible until 1st July 2013, by which time a longer term solution should be implemented. The premiums charged and other terms will reflect the risk of flooding but insurance will be available:

- 1. for properties where the flood risk is not significant (generally defined as no worse than 1.33% or 1-in-75 years annual probability of flooding); and
- 2. to existing domestic property and small business customers at significant risk, providing the Environment Agency has announced plans to reduce that risk within five years, such as improving flood defences. (The commitment to offer cover will extend to the new owner of any applicable property subject to satisfactory information about the new owner).

However, for significant risk areas where no improvements in flood defences are planned, and in all cases other than domestic properties and small businesses, insurers cannot guarantee to provide cover, but will examine the risks on a case-by-case basis. The implementation of the revised Statement of Principles depends on action from the Government and is continually reviewed by insurers. In addition, the revised Statement of Principles does not apply to properties built after 1st January 2009. Different guidance applies to these (see Climate Change – Guidance on Insurance Issues for New Developments from www.abi.org.uk).

The responses to the question 'Is the Site likely to be insurable at standard terms?' assume the Site is an existing domestic property or small business and makes no allowance for previous claims arising from any type of flooding, nor for non-flood related risks such as subsidence.

| Response | Meaning |
|----------|---------|
| | |

| Yes | The Site is likely to be considered acceptable by insurance companies at standard terms and flood insurance should not be difficult to obtain. No further action required. |
|-----|---|
| No | The Site is not likely to be considered acceptable by insurance companies at standard terms, on the basis of current information. Further work may be required in order to obtain acceptable insurance terms for the flood risk. This could include a more detailed risk assessment or the use of accredited products, flood resilient materials and temporary defences to defend the property. |

Development Risk

Argyll comments on whether a full or partial Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) would be required in accordance with National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). The answer to Question 2 (on page 3) is indicative only and is based on the size of the Site (as supplied by the client) and the information in the data section of this report.

NPPF sets out Government policy on development and flood risk. Its aims are to ensure that flood risk is taken into account at all stages in the planning process to avoid inappropriate development in areas at risk of flooding, and to direct development away from areas of highest risk. Where new development is exceptionally necessary, NPPF aims to make it safe, without increasing flood risk elsewhere, and, where possible, reducing flood risk overall.

A separate Drainage Impact Assessment may be required in addition to an FRA to demonstrate that development of the Site will not adversely affect flood risk elsewhere.

| Response | Meaning |
|----------------|---|
| Yes (Full) | If the Site was redeveloped, a full Flood Risk Assessment is likely to be required which should include a Drainage Impact Assessment. |
| Yes (Drainage) | If the Site was redeveloped, a full Flood Risk Assessment may not be required however, given the size of the Site, a Drainage Impact Assessment may be necessary. |
| No | If the Site was to be redeveloped, no further flood assessment is likely to be required. |

Flood Risk Rating

Argyll provides an overall flood risk rating based on an assessment of the data provided within this report. It does so by asking two questions:

3. What is the overall risk of flooding, assuming flood defence fail or are absent or overtopped?

The answer to Question 3 (on page 3) provides a worst case scenario assuming there are either no defences in the area, that any defences in the area could fail, primarily as a result of river or coastal flooding, or are overtopped by excessive flood volumes.

4. Are there existing flood defences which might benefit the Site?

The answer to Question 4 (on page 3) is based on the presence of any flood defences in the dataset provided by the Environment Agency within 500m of the Site. It should be noted that a residual risk of flooding may be present if such defences failed. Flood defences do not generally protect the Site against groundwater and surface water flooding.

If defences are present within 250m, a further question is asked:

5. What is the risk of flooding when these defences are operational?

This assesses the risk from flooding, assuming these defences work as intended and neither fail nor are overtopped.

Questions 3 and 5 are answered by one of six standard responses:

| Response | Meaning |
|------------------|---|
| Negligible | The overall flood risk rating for the Site is assessed to be 'Negligible'. Existing datasets do not indicate any risk at the Site itself, or any feature within the locality of the Site, which would be expected to pose a threat of flooding. It is not considered that any further investigations are necessary in regard to flood risk. |
| Low | The overall flood risk rating for the Site is assessed to be 'Low'. Although large sites (over 1 hectare) would require a Drainage Impact Assessment to accompany any planning application, it is not considered necessary to undertake any other further investigations into the flood risk to the Site. |
| Low to Moderate | The overall flood risk rating for the Site is assessed to be 'Low to Moderate'. The presence of such features as flood defences, flood storage areas and watercourses within the locality of the Site suggests that there may be a risk of flooding to the Site itself. Further investigations could be undertaken to further assess this risk. |
| Moderate | The overall flood risk rating for the Site is assessed to be 'Moderate'. Information from existing datasets suggests that there are certain features which may present a risk to the Site and its occupants. Further assessment would normally be suggested as a prudent measure to clarify the risk of flooding at the Site. |
| Moderate to High | The overall flood risk rating for Site is assessed to be 'Moderate to High'. Information from existing datasets suggests that there are certain features which may present a significant risk to the Site and its occupants. Further assessment is usually recommended in order to clarify the risk of flooding at the Site. |
| High | The overall flood risk rating for Site is assessed to be 'High', with a consequent risk to life and property. This means that existing datasets reveal significant flood risk issues which need to be addressed. Further assessment is usually recommended in order to clarify the risk of flooding at the Site. |

Flood Analysis

The flood risk gauges provide a more detailed analysis of the risk from each of the four main types of flooding – river, coastal, groundwater and surface water. In addition, a fifth gauge provides an analysis of other factors (i.e. historic flood events, geological deposits which are indicative of past flooding, proximity to surface water features and elevation above sea level) that may affect the overall flood risk. For surface water flooding, only the risk rating generated from the 1:200 year rainfall event data is included in the overall risk assessment. The data on 1:75 year and 1:1,000 year rainfall events is provided for information only. For further information on each of these types of flooding, please refer to the Argyll FloodSolutions User Guide.

This analysis takes into account any existing flood defences that are intended to protect the Site and assumes that these work as designed. The analysis also takes into account the other information contained in those data sections of the report which are relevant to that particular type of flooding. The assessment of the risk as shown in the flood gauge should therefore take priority over the information in the individual data sections of the report.

Limitations of the Report

The FloodSolutions Residence report has been designed to satisfy basic flood-related environmental due-diligence enquiries for residential properties. It is a desktop review of information provided by the client and from selected private and public databases. It does not include a site investigation, nor are specific information requests made of the regulatory authorities for any relevant information (other than local water and sewerage providers). Therefore, Argyll cannot guarantee that all issues of concern will be identified by this report, or that the data and information supplied to it by third parties is accurate and complete.

This report includes an assessment of surface water flooding which examines the risk of the general drainage network overflowing during periods of extreme rainfall. This report does not make a detailed site-specific assessment of the suitability of the existing drainage on the Site. If this is required, then a site survey should be considered. The assessment of pluvial flooding does not take into account particular local or temporary factors that may cause surface water flooding such as the blockage or failure of structures on or within watercourses, drains, foul sewers, water mains, canals and other water infrastructure; and any history of drains flooding at the Site or in the locality. Surface water flooding can occur before surface water reaches the general drainage network, for example on hills and inclines.

The Risk of Flooding from Rivers and Sea dataset provided by The Environment Agency does take account of failure of flood defences but does not take into account particular local or temporary factors such as blockage. The Environment Agency data does not include flood risk from very small catchments as models of such small scale

catchments are not considered to be reliable for UK-wide flood risk assessments. The potential impact of climate change on flood risk to the Site would require further study.

When answering any questions within this report, current applicable legislation is taken into account.

The data used in this report may have inherent limitations and qualifications. Further details are set out in the FloodSolutions User Guide which is available free of charge from our website www.argyllenvironmental.com , or by calling one of our technical team on 0845 458 5250.

This report is provided under The Argyll Environmental Limited Conditions of Contract for SITESOLUTIONS and FLOODSOLUTIONS Reports (May 2011), a copy of which is available on our website www.argyllenvironmental.com , or by calling one of our technical team on 0845 458 5250

Flood Glossary

Business Continuity Plan

A business continuity plan is a strategic plan of action for a business to implement in an emergency (i.e. flood event). This plan ensures a business can continue to operate during emergency situations and reduces the risk of suffering avoidable losses. For example, it may cover such items as emergency accommodation and computer back up off site.

Flood Evacuation Plan

A flood evacuation plan sets out clear steps to ensure the safe evacuation of staff during a flood. It will form part of the Business Continuity Plan.

Coastal Flooding

Coastal flooding is the inundation of land areas along the coast caused by sea water rising above normal tidal conditions. Coastal flooding can arise from a combination of high tides, wind induced tidal surge, storm surge created by low pressure and wave action.

Flood Resistance Measures

These measures are designed to prevent flood water from entering the buildings on Site.

Flood Resilience Measures

These measures are intended to make buildings more resilient to flood damage so that they recover more quickly from flooding. They are not designed to prevent flood water entering the property.

Flood Risk Assessment

A full Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) Report is a bespoke report required under NPPF for any development site within Environment Agency Flood Zones 2 or 3 and/or any development site larger than 1 hectare. These reports are generally prepared following liaison with the Local Planning Authority and the application of the sequential test.

Flood Zone 1

An area of low probability of flooding as defined by the Environment Agency – a flood return period of 1 in 1,000 or more.

Flood Zone 2

An area of medium probability of flooding as defined by the Environment Agency – a flood return period between 1 in 100 to 1 in 1,000 for river flooding and 1 in 200 to 1 in 1,000 for coastal flooding.

Flood Zone 3a

An area of high probability of flooding as defined by the Environment Agency – a flood return period between 1 in 20 to 1 in 100 for river flooding and 1 in 200 for coastal flooding.

Flood Zone 3b

This area is a functional floodplain as defined by the Environment Agency. It is an area which is designed to flood – a flood return period of 1 in 20 or less.

Groundwater Flooding

Groundwater flooding occurs when ground water levels increase sufficiently for the water table to intersect the ground surface. Groundwater flooding can occur in a variety of geological settings including valleys and in areas underlain by chalk, and in river valleys with thick deposits of alluvium and river gravels.

NPPF

This relates to the National Planning Policy Framework and the associated Technical Guidance.

Pluvial (Surface Water) Flooding

Pluvial flooding results from rainfall running over ground before entering a watercourse or sewer. It is usually associated with high intensity rainfall events (typically greater than 30mm per hour) but can also occur with lower intensity rainfall or melting snow where the ground is already saturated, frozen, developed (for example in an urban setting) or otherwise has low permeability.

Return Period

Return periods are a measure of how likely flooding is to occur. They are commonly expressed as a ratio (for example 1 in 75 or 1:75). This means that this level of flooding is expected once in every 75 years.

River Flooding

River flooding mainly happens when the river catchment (that is the area of land that feeds water into the river and the streams that flow into the main river) receives greater than usual amounts of water (for example through rainfall or melting of snow). The amount of runoff depends on the soil type, catchment steepness, drainage characteristics, agriculture and urbanisation as well as the saturation of the catchment. The extra water causes the level of the water in the river to rise above its banks or retaining structures.



Important Consumer Protection Information

This search has been produced by Argyll Environmental Ltd, Lees House 21-33 Dyke Road, Brighton, BN1 3FE. Telephone: 0845 458 5250, Fax: 08456 458 5260, e-mail: <u>orders@argyllenviro.com</u> which is registered with the Property Codes Compliance Board (PCCB) as a subscriber to the Search Code. The PCCB independently monitors how registered firms maintain compliance with the Code.

The Search Code:

- provides protection for homebuyers, sellers, estate agents, conveyancers and mortgage lenders who rely on the information included in property search reports undertaken by subscribers on residential and commercial property within the United Kingdom
- sets out minimum standards which firms compiling and selling search reports have to meet
- promotes the best practice and quality standards within the industry for the benefit of consumers and property professionals
- enables consumers and property professionals to have confidence in firms which subscribe to the code, their products and services.

By giving you this information, the search firm is confirming that they keep to the principles of the Code. This provides important protection for you.

The Code's core principles

Firms which subscribe to the Search Code will:

- display the Search Code logo prominently on their search reports
- act with integrity and carry out work with due skill, care and diligence
- at all times maintain adequate and appropriate insurance to protect consumers
- conduct business in an honest, fair and professional manner
- handle complaints speedily and fairly
- ensure that products and services comply with industry registration rules and standards and relevant laws
- monitor their compliance with the Code

Complaints

If you have a query or complaint about your search, you should raise it directly with the search firm, and if appropriate ask for any complaint to be considered under their formal internal complaints procedure. If you remain dissatisfied with the firm's final response, after your complaint has been formally considered, or if the firm has exceeded the response timescales, you may refer your complaint for consideration under The Property Ombudsman scheme (TPOs). The Ombudsman can award compensation of up to £5,000 to you if he finds that you have suffered actual loss as a result of your search provider failing to keep to the Code.

Please note that all queries or complaints regarding your search should be directed to your search provider in the first instance, not to TPOs or to the PCCB.

TPOs Contact Details: The Property Ombudsman scheme Milford House 43-55 Milford Street Salisbury Wiltshire SP1 2BP Tel: 01722 333306 Fax: 01722 332296 Email: admin@tpos.co.uk

You can get more information about the PCCB from www.propertycodes.org.uk. PLEASE ASK YOUR SEARCH PROVIDER IF YOU WOULD LIKE A COPY OF THE SEARCH CODE



Complaints procedure

If you want to make a complaint, we will:

- Acknowledge it within 5 working days of receipt.
- Normally deal with it fully and provide a final response, in writing, within 20 working days of receipt.
- Keep you informed by letter, telephone or e-mail, as you prefer, if we need more time.
- Provide a final response, in writing, at the latest within 40 working days of receipt.
- Liaise, at your request, with anyone acting formally on your behalf.

Complaints should be sent to:

Legal Director Argyll Environmental Ltd Lees House 21-33 Dyke Road Brighton BN1 3FE

Telephone: 0845 458 5250 Email: <u>orders@argyllenvironmental.com</u>

If you are not satisfied with our final response, or if we exceed the response timescales, you may refer the complaint to The Property Ombudsman scheme (TPOs): Tel: 01722 333306, E-mail: admin@tpos.co.uk

We will co-operate fully with the Ombudsman during an investigation and comply with his final decision.